



\$~80 to 82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 342/2026 & I.A. 11215/2026

TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONSULTANTS INDIA
LTD.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhilesh Krishnan & Mr.
Siddharth Singh, Advs.

versus

RADHIKA ENGINEERING CORespondent

Through: Mr. Shubendu Anand, Mr. Shoeb H
Khan, Mr. Piyush M Dwivedi & Mr.
Abhishek Dwivedi, Advs.

81

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 343/2026 & I.A. 11216/2026

TELECOMMUNICATION CONSULTANTS INDIA
LTDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhilesh Krishnan & Mr.
Siddharth Singh, Advs.

versus

RADHIKA ENGINEERING CORespondent

Through: Mr. Shubendu Anand, Mr. Shoeb H
Khan, Mr. Piyush M Dwivedi & Mr.
Abhishek Dwivedi, Advs.

82

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 346/2026 & I.A. 11282/2026

TELECOMMUNICATION CONSULTANTS INDIA
LTDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhilesh Krishnan & Mr.
Siddharth Singh, Advs.

versus

RADHIKA ENGINEERING CORespondent



Through: Mr. Shubendu Anand, Mr. Shoeb H Khan, Mr. Piyush M Dwivedi & Mr. Abhishek Dwivedi, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER
22.05.2026

%

1. These petitions are filed under Section 29A of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking extension of period for concluding the arbitration proceedings.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent has no serious objection to the prayer made.
3. In view of the above, the time period for conclusion of the proceedings is extended by twelve months from today, as prayed for. The intervening period is regularized.
4. These petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

MAY 22, 2026
Ch