



\$~28

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5576/2026 & CM APPL. 27146/2026**

**SURINDER KUMAR SHARMA**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar and Mr. Ujjwal K.  
Priyadarshi, Advocates.

versus

**GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

**ORDER**

**24.04.2026**

%

1. The Petitioner asserts ownership of land admeasuring 8 biswas, bearing Khasra No. 1514, situated in the revenue estate of Village Asola, Tehsil Hauz Khas, Mehrauli, New Delhi, and states that his request for demarcation of the said land, despite judicial orders, has not been carried out. In this regard, reliance is placed on order dated 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 11007/2022, whereby, after hearing the parties, the Petitioner was directed to file an application for demarcation along with requisite fees, and the concerned SDM was directed to demarcate the property in question, in the presence of all contesting parties, and pass a speaking order in accordance with law, preferably within four weeks.

2. In compliance with the aforesaid directions, the Petitioner deposited the requisite fees with the office of the concerned SDM. The said office also issued notices fixing dates for demarcation; however, the exercise has not



been carried out till date.

3. In these circumstances, the Petitioner has approached this Court once again, seeking a direction for compliance with the aforesaid order dated 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.

4. During proceedings, it has also been pointed out that the land in question has since been urbanised. The issue regarding the competent authority to carry out demarcation in respect of urbanised land is stated to be pending consideration before a Larger Bench of this Court in O.REF. 1/2024, titled ***Court on its Own Motion v. NEMO***. However, in the opinion of this Court, such pendency cannot be a ground to deny the Petitioner's request in the facts of the present case. The urbanisation is stated to have been notified in the year 2019, whereas the directions for demarcation were issued subsequently in 2022. In any event, disputes relating to demarcation must be resolved, and for this purpose, this Court had already directed that all contesting parties remain present at the time of demarcation. Such an exercise would determine whether the Petitioner is in possession of the subject khasra or whether the land forms part of forest land.

5. In light of the foregoing, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to comply with order dated 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 11007/2022, as well as the notices issued by the concerned SDM.

6. Considering that the directions issued in the year 2022 have remained uncomplied with for a considerable period, it is expected that the Respondents shall carry out the same positively within a period of eight weeks from today. In the event of non-compliance, the Petitioner shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate remedies in accordance with law.



7. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

**SANJEEV NARULA, J**

**APRIL 24, 2026/hc**