



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 1257/2025

BILLA VERMA

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Faraz Maqbool, Adv.

Versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP with
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Ms. Divya
Bakshi, Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh
and Mr. Gourav Singh, Advs.
Mr. Sarthak Karol, Advocate
(DHCLSC) with Ms. Neelakshi
Bhadauria and Mr. Shashank
Sharma, Advs.
SI- Preeti, PS: Vivek Vihar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
19.05.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present application under *Section 483* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the applicant seeks grant of regular bail in FIR No.595/2022 dated 07.09.2022 registered under *Sections 307/323/324/386/353/332* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and *Section 27* of the Arms Act, 1959 (***Arms Act***) at PS: Vivek Vihar.

2. *Briefly put*, as per FIR lodged by the complainant/ wife, on the date of incident, the applicant/ husband tried to make sexual relation with the complainant, to which she opposed/ resisted and called her brother. Thereafter, when the brother of the complainant reached the house of the



complainant along with a police official, the applicant attacked the complainant with a knife, and thereafter attacked both her brother and the said police official, causing *grievous hurt* to all of them.

3. In these facts, this Court has heard learned counsels for the applicant and the complainant as also learned APP for State. Both learned APP and learned counsel for the complainant have handed over their respective Status Report and written synopsis, which are taken on record.

4. Though the applicant herein is facing incarceration since more than *three years*, which is a relevant factor for consideration for grant of regular bail, however, the same has also to be coupled with the surrounding facts and circumstances as this Court is to exercise the discretion of granting bail judiciously. If the facts herein disclose that the applicant has repeatedly stabbed his wife on the vital parts of her body, including her neck and head, and that too, in the presence of an on-duty police official and the complainant's brother, as also when they both tried intervening, the applicant also attacked them with the very same knife then the period of incarceration takes a back seat. All the more whence as per the MLC Report, all the injured persons sustained grievous injuries.

5. All of the above cannot be taken lightly, more so, since the applicant is involved in repeated knife blows. The allegations being heinous, grave and serious cannot be lightly brushed aside at this stage. The reasons therefor, the background, the situation then, along with other such factors are best left open to trial, as they cannot be considered at this stage. In such a scenario, when the trial is at a nascent stage and evidence of the complainant is yet to be concluded, are factors needing due weightage. There may be a likelihood of tampering with the evidence,



hampering the trial and/ or derailing the course of trial by the applicant, if granted bail. Moreover, there are two (minor) children involved as well.

6. As such, having regard to the overall facts and circumstances, the present bail application is dismissed.

7. Needless to say, since the expression of opinion, if any, are for the purposes of adjudicating the present application only, they have no bearing on the overall merits/ trial involved.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 19, 2026/Ab