



\$~90

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3069/2026

BALAK RAM AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vikas Negi, Advocate along  
with petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT DELHI) AND ORS.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State  
with SI Devendra Singh, PS Nand  
Nagri  
Mr. Vipin Rajawat and MR.  
Deepak Jayanth, Advocates for R-3  
alongwith R-3 in person

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE**

**ORDER**

**22.04.2026**

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 227* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.304/2011 dated 26.07.2011 registered at PS.: Nand Nagri, District North East, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and *Section 4* of the Dowry Protection Act, 1961 (**DP Act**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Memorandum of Settlement dated 19.12.2017 (**Annexure P2**), whereby the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.3 have mutually and amicably resolved their disputes.



2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.3, present through video conferencing, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Memorandum of Settlement dated 19.12.2017. She submits that she has no claim from the petitioner no.1 towards *stridhan*, permanent alimony and maintenance (present, past and future) etc. Respondent no.3 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995 *vide* Decree dated 04.01.2025, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners as also the respondent no.3, all appearing through video conferencing, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.3 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.:*** (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.304/2011 dated 26.07.2011 registered at PS.: Nand Nagri, District North East, Delhi under



*Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC and Section 4 of the DP Act all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.*

7. Accordingly, the present petition, along with the pending applications, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J**

**APRIL 22, 2026/rr**