



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3072/2026**

MONU @ YOGENDER VERMAPetitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Mr.
Deepanshu Jain, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State along with ASI Vikram Singh.
R-2 with her counsel Mr. Sudesh
Kumar, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

27.04.2026

CRL.M.A. 12487/2026 & CRL.M.A. 12488/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 3072/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 356/2017, registered at Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereafter '*DP Act*').
4. The petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned



Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi.

5. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 10.03.2016 as per the Hindu rites and customs and thereafter started living with each other. No child was born from their wedlock. After some time, due to different lifestyles and temperamental differences, both the parties could not reside with each other and since 15.10.2016, petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 have started living separately from each other. Thereafter, on the complaint of respondent no.2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioner under the relevant sections.

6. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Settlement dated 27.09.2018, entered between them before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi. The balance compromise amount of ₹48,000/-, by way of Demand Draft bearing No. 075378 dated 16.04.2026, drawn on Canara Bank, has been handed over to respondent no. 2 in Court today.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in the interest of



justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 356/2017, registered at Police Station Karawal Nagar, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of IPC and Section 4 of DP Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

APRIL 27, 2026/A/R