



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5425/2026**

SUTAR BUILDCON PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saurabh Kushwaha, Mr. Raju
Yadav, Mr. Rohit Arya & Mr. Ajay
Kumar Saini, Advs.

versus

**DEBTS RECOVERY TRIBUNAL COURT NO II NEW DELHI
THROUGH ITS LD REGISTRAR AND**

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

%

27.04.2026

CM APPL. 26563/2026 (*seeking exemption from filing certified copies of annexures*)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Accordingly, the present applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 5425/2026

3. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, including writ in the nature of *mandamus*, directing Respondent No.1 - Debts Recovery Tribunal-II, Delhi, ("DRT-II") to pronounce the final order in S.A. No. 02/2017 titled as "***M/s Sutar Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. vs. Indiabulls Housing Finance Ltd. & Ors.***" which was reserved for Judgment on 31.05.2024 and has remained unpronounced till date, resulting in undue



and prejudicial delay in the adjudication of the petitioner's rights and denial of timely justice, without due process of law, along with supporting affidavits.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter was heard by learned DRT-II and the Judgment was reserved on 31.05.2024. Thereafter, on 05.07.2024, the Court again listed the matter for arguments as certain clarifications were yet required. Since 05.07.2024, the matter has not been taken up for hearing. It is prayed that the matter be heard and decided expeditiously.

5. In the given circumstances, we deem it fit and proper to direct the DRT-II to hear and decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the present petition stands disposed of.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

MADHU JAIN, J

APRIL 27, 2026/pk/ht