



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 386/2026, CM APPL. 25795/2026 (stay), CM APPL.
25796/2026 (delay)

MUKESH SHARMA

.....Appellant

Through: Counsel for Appellant (appearance
not given) with Appellant.

versus

POONAM

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajesh Bhatia, Advocate with
Respondent.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

26.05.2026

1. The Appellant and the Respondent are present in person.
2. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that the Appellant states that he is not pressing the Appeal but he may be granted six months' time to vacate the Suit premises.
3. The Respondent, who is present in person, agrees that the time of six months may be given to the Appellant, to vacate the Property.
4. Both the parties shall furnish their undertaking by way of Affidavit before the learned Registrar within one week.
5. In view of the submissions made by the parties, the Appeal is dismissed. However, time of six months is given to the Appellant from the date of Order, to vacate the premises. In case, the Appellant fails to vacate the Property, the Respondent is at liberty to take the possession through



execution and also to recover the Mesne Profits as granted by the impugned Judgment and decree.

6. The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 26, 2026/RS