



\$~81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2969/2026, CRL.M.A. 12071/2026

NXXX & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mohd. Azeem and Mr. R.K. Pandit,
Advocates with petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Singh, APP with
Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Ms.
Upasna Bakshi and Ms. Divya
Bakshi, Advocates.
SI Sudhanshu, PS-Seelampur
R-2 (Through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

20.04.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) read with *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C.**), the petitioners seeks quashing of the FIR No.371/2016 dated 16.07.2016 registered at PS.: Seelampur, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/377/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and *Section 4* of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (**D.P. Act**) as also all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Mediation Settlement dated 14.05.2025 (**Annexure P-3**), whereby the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 have mutually resolved their disputes.



2. Issue notice.
3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
4. Respondent no.2, present through video conferencing, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Mediation Settlement dated 14.05.2025. She submits that in compliance thereof the petitioner no.1 has already paid her an amount of Rs.30,000/- out of the total settlement amount of Rs.40,000/- as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per the settlement, the balance amount of Rs.10,000/- would be paid to the respondent no.2 before the learned Trial Court. Respondent no.2 submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by divorce/Talaq as per Shariyat/ Muslim Law, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.
6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.:*** (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State***



of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.371/2016 dated 16.07.2016 registered at PS.: Seelampur, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/377/34* of the IPC and *Section 4* of the D.P. Act as also all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition, along with the pending application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 20, 2026/NA