



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2983/2026, CRL.M.A. 12116/2026**

NAVED KHAN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Bajaj, Advocate
alongwith petitioners in person

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh,
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Ms. Divya
Bakshi and Mr. Gourav Singh,
Advocates alongwith SI Pradeep
Malik, PS Jamia Nagar
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
20.04.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.518/2023 dated 11.11.2023 registered at PS.: Jamia Nagar, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Settlement Agreement dated 21.11.2025 (***Annexure P4***), whereby the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 have mutually and amicably resolved their disputes.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.



3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Agreement. She submits that in compliance thereof out of total settlement amount of Rs.5,00,000/-, the petitioner no.1 has already paid her the settlement amount of Rs.3,00,000/- and a Demand Draft being DD No.000186 dated 10.04.2026 (Bank of India) of Rs.2,00,000/- has been handed over to her today as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent in accordance with Muslim Law and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.:*** (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.:*** (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

6. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.518/2023 dated



11.11.2023 registered at PS.: Jamia Nagar, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed

7. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 20, 2026/rr