



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CM(M) 861/2026, CM APPL. 25265/2026 & CM APPL. 25266/2026
MS. CHANDERWATY SINGHPetitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Dwivedi, Ms. Dhriti Sundar
Jha and Mr. Kailash Kukreti,
Advocates.

versus

SHREE VARDHMAN DEVELOPERS PVT. LTDRespondent
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

17.04.2026

%

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 227 of The Constitution of India, 1950, assailing the order dated 25th August, 2025, passed by the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission-VI, Delhi in Complaint Case No. 205/2022, whereby an application for review filed by the respondent has been allowed and the order dated 25th November, 2024 has been recalled.
3. Heard.
4. Under Section 41 of The Consumer Protection Act, 2019, an order passed by the District Commission is appealable before the State Commission. The Petitioner, instead of preferring an appeal, has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of The Constitution of India, 1950. As an alternative efficacious remedy is available with the petitioner, the



present petition cannot be entertained by this Court.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed, with liberty to the petitioner to avail the appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

APRIL 17, 2026/MR/TP