



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2885/2026 & CRL.M.A. 11749-11750/2026

AKHTAR ALAMPetitioner

Through: Mr. Praveen Yadav, Advocate with
petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
State with Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh and Ms. Upasna Bakshi,
Advocates with SI Parmendra Kr.,
PS: Chandni Mahal
Mr. Manish Srivastava, Mr. Moksh
Arora and Mr. Santosh Ramdurj,
Advs. for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **24.04.2026**

1. By virtue of the present application under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**) read with *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C.**), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.170/2026 dated 03.03.2026, PS.: Chandni Mahal, Delhi registered under *Sections 135/138* of the Indian Electricity Act (Amend.) 2003 and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Award dated 16.03.2026, whereby the petitioner has settled the present matter with the respondent no.2/ BSES Yamuna Private Limited before the Permanent Lok Adalat-II.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and upon instruction, affirms the terms of the Settlement Award dated 16.03.2026 submits that the total settlement amount of Rs.2,85,000/- has also been



received thus, he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. The petitioner, present in Court, as well as his credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

4. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.***: (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***: (2012) 10 SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***: (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

5. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.170/2026 dated 03.03.2026, PS.: Chandni Mahal, Delhi registered under *Sections 135/138* of the Indian Electricity Act (Amend.) 2003 and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 24, 2026/So