



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% ***Date of Decision: 17th April, 2026***

+ **CRL.M.C. 2875/2026 & CRL.M.A. 11722-11723/2026**

RAM NARAYAN AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Khursheed Ahmed and Mr. Vishal
Kumar Sharma, Advocates along with
petitioners-in-person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for State/R-2
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

J U D G M E N T (oral)

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 934/2014 dated 02.11.2014, registered at Police Station Pandav Nagar, Delhi, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. Aforesaid FIR was registered way back in the year 2014 and it was at behest of respondent No. 2.
3. Respondent No. 2 got married to Hemant Kumar on 24.02.2011 and on account of some matrimonial discord and temperamental differences, respondent No. 2 reported the matter to the police which resulted in registration of aforesaid FIR.
4. Fact, however, remains that in relation to one Execution Petition, when the parties were referred to *Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts*,



Delhi way back on 31.08.2017, they were able to resolve all their disputes and respondent No. 2 agreed to live at matrimonial home with her husband and in-laws and also agreed that she would have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed.

5. It is somehow not comprehensible as to why when the aforesaid agreement was entered into on 31.08.2017, no step was taken for getting the FIR quashed. In the interregnum, two accused have already expired, including husband of respondent No. 2.

6. Though charge-sheet has been filed but fact remains that charges have yet not been ascertained and trial has not, even, commenced.

7. Respondent No. 2 is present with her son and daughter.

8. She submits that she is residing at her parental home and in view of the aforesaid settlement and after the unfortunate death of her husband, she is not interested in pursuing with the aforesaid FIR and would have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed. She submits that her husband had died due to heart-attack whereas her mother-in-law was suffering from some ailment and died because of such ailment. She submits that she had already been given share in the property of her husband.

9. Next date before the learned Trial Court is 17.08.2026.

10. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners.

11. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed



appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

12. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No. 934/2014 dated 02.11.2014, registered at Police Station Pandav Nagar, Delhi, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed subject to petitioners' depositing total cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the account of *Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee* within four weeks from today. Proof of deposit of cost and original affidavits of the parties, copies of which have been placed on record in the present proceedings, shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within further two weeks.

13. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

14. Pending application also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

APRIL 17, 2026/dr/sy