



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 856/2026 & CM APPL. 25216/2026**

**PREMAIR, A UNIT OF AFIMAC ASSOCIATES PRIVATE
LIMITED**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aryan Arora, Advocate through
VC.

versus

HERITAGE AVIATION PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Ms Jasmine Damkewala, Ms.
Vaishali Sharma, Mr. Divyam Khera
and Ms. Anusha Misra, Advocates
through VC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

17.04.2026

%

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking the following reliefs:

“a. Direct the learned District Judge (Commercial Court)–02, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, in OMP (COMM.) No. 94 of 2023 titled Heritage Aviation Private Limited v. Premair, A Unit of Afimac Associates Private Limited, to pronounce judgment on the pending Section 34 petition within a time-bound period , within four weeks or such shorter period as this Hon’ble Court may deem fit, from the date of communication of this Hon’ble Court’s order;

b. Direct that save and except for any clarification, if strictly necessary, no further adjournments shall be granted at the instance of either party for re-opening of arguments, the



matter having already been heard finally and written submissions having been filed;”

3. Heard.

4. The learned Trial Court is proceeding with the matters in accordance with its own board, after keeping in view the pendency and age of cases before it. In such circumstances, it would not be appropriate to issue any direction to the learned Trial Court for expeditious disposal or to decide the matter in a time-bound manner.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

APRIL 17, 2026/MR/TP