



\$~52, 53, 59, 82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 641/2026

(52) NARENDER YADAVPetitioner

versus

ROBIN BALEJA, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (CEO), DELHI
CANTONMENT BOARD AND ANR.Respondents

+ CONT.CAS(C) 642/2026

(53) JITENDRAPetitioner

versus

ROBIN BALEJA, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (CEO), DELHI
CANTONMENT BOARD AND ANR.Respondents

+ CONT.CAS(C) 648/2026

(59) RAMVRESHPetitioner

versus

ROBIN BALEJA, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (CEO), DELHI
CANTONMENT BOARD AND ANR.Respondents

+ CONT.CAS(C) 651/2026

(82) PAPPUPetitioner

versus

ROBIN BALEJA, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (CEO), DELHI
CANTONMENT BOARD AND ANR.Respondents

Through:

Presence:

Mr. Sanjay Beniwal, Ms. Manisha and Mr. R.K. Seewal, Advs. for petitioners in item nos.52, 53, 59 and 82.

Ms. Vidhi Gupta, SPC, Ms. Neha Balyan, Mr. Mudit Gupta and Mr. Abhay Verma, Advs. for R-2 in item no.52.

Mr. Dhan Mohan, Sr. Panel Counsel, Ms. Tanisha Bhatia, Ms. Anjali Chaudhary, Advs. and Ms. Ritu Bhardwaj, GP, UOI in item no.53.

Mr. Tarveen Singh Nanda Aggarwal, Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Mr. Gurpreet Singh and Mr. Nitish Dham, Advs. for Delhi Cantonment Board.

Mr. Nirvikar Verma, SPC, Mr. Debashish Mishra, GP for R-2/UOI in item



no. 59.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

17.04.2026

1. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners alleging wilful disobedience of the directions contained in the orders dated 18.03.2026, passed in W.P.(C)18926/2025; W.P.(C) 18944/2025; W.P.(C)18992/2025 and W.P.(C) 18943/2025. The operative directions passed in W.P.(C) 18926/2025 are as under:

“12. The case of the Petitioner being that he has not been allowed to vend at the concerned site. The Board has already taken a decision vide Minutes of Meeting dated 15th January 2026 to the effect that those persons who have challans prior to 27th October 2023 shall not be disturbed and that their cases shall be verified and a decision shall be taken.

*13. Considering the order dated 25th February, 2026 passed in **Danveer Yadav (supra)**, let his case be also examined by the TVC/Delhi Cantonment Board and the decision be communicated within a period of one month.”*

2. Learned counsel for the respondent, who appears on advance notice submits that a meeting of Town Vending Committee (TVC) / Delhi Cantonment Board (DCB) is scheduled today in which the requisite decision as mandated in terms of the aforesaid directions shall be taken.

3. It is assured and undertaken that the decision as may be taken shall be duly communicated to the petitioner. Let the same be accordingly done.

4. In the meantime, till the aforesaid decision is communicated to the petitioner, the vending activities of the petitioner shall not be disturbed.

5. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

APRIL 17, 2026/cl

SACHIN DATTA, J