



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1457/2026**

**FARMAN ALIAS MD THROUGH HIS BROTHER PAROKAR MD
AMIR**Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajender Yadav, Adv.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **16.04.2026**

CRL.M.A. 11551/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 1457/2026

3. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks grant of regular bail in case arising out of FIR bearing No. 299/2025 registered at Police Station Sun Light Colony, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 109(1)/61(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNS').
4. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case present FIR was registered on the complaint filed by the complainants Md. Asgar Khan and Ankush alleging that they were subjected to a coordinated attack by a large mob on 21.07.2025, at approximately 1:00 AM. As alleged, the mob inflicted stab



injuries upon both the complainants. Consequently, the present FIR was registered under the relevant Sections and Farman (the present applicant), has been alleged to be an active and key participant in the said violent and life-threatening mob attack.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant argues that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that the applicant is in judicial custody since 27.07.2025, i.e., about nine months. It is also argued that the chargesheet in the present case has been filed and custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required. Therefore, it is prayed that the applicant be granted regular bail.

6. The learned APP for the State, on the other hand, does not dispute that there are no criminal cases pending against the present accused/applicant. However, he states that the present applicant was actively involved in the commission of the offence in question. He also concedes that the co-accused persons in this case has already been granted by the learned Trial Court. Thus, it is stated that the present application for grant of regular bail be rejected.

7. This Court has **heard** arguments addressed on behalf of the learned counsel appearing for the applicant as well as the learned APP for the State, and has perused the material available on record.

8. After hearing arguments and going through the case file, this Court is of the opinion that the allegations against the present applicant are that during the alleged incident dated 21.07.2025, he being part of the mob, had caused stab injuries to the complainants. However, no recovery has been effected from the present applicant.

9. This Court notes that the learned Trial Court has granted bail to the



co-accused persons Altaf Ahmad, Mayank and Rashid Ansari with similar alleged role *vide* orders dated 12.11.2025.

10. Further, this Court notes that the applicant is in judicial custody since 27.07.2025. The charge-sheet stands filed in this case, however, charges are not yet been framed, and the trial is likely to take considerable period to conclude.

11. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant herein, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Successor Court/Link Court/Duty Judge concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned Court and if the applicant has a passport, he shall surrender the same to the concerned trial court.
- ii) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned Court and IO/SHO concerned.
- iii) The applicant shall appear regularly before the learned Trial Court, unless exempted.
- iv) The applicant shall not communicate with, or come into contact with the complainant or any of the prosecution witnesses, or tamper with the evidence of the case.

12. Accordingly, the present bail application stands allowed and is disposed of.

13. It is, however, clarified that nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on merits of the case.



14. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

APRIL 16, 2026/A/R