



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4925/2026 & CM APPL 24157/2026 (stay)**

ISHRAT ADIL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Srivastava, Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Manu Chaturvedi, Standing
Counsel for MCD with Mr. Kshitiz K
Rai & Mr. Ahmed J Siddiqui, Advs.
for R-1/MCD

Mr. Nishant Gautam, CGSC with Mr.
Vineet Negi, Ms. Kavya Shukla &
Mr. Vaibhav Nath, Avs. For R-2

Ms. Mrinalini Sen, Standing counsel
for R-3 /DDA with Ms. Aditi Saxena
and Mr. Pranay Lakhanpal,
Advocates.

Mr. Shabbir Ali, Mohd. Yunis & Mr.
Sumit Kumar, Avs. For R-4

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

% **15.04.2026**

CM APPL. 24158/2026

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 4925/2026

1. This Public Interest Litigation ('**PIL**') has been filed seeking



directions to the respondent nos. 1 to 3 to stop and demolish the illegal and unauthorized construction that has been raised at properties No. 612, Gali No. 35, Zakir Nagar, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, New Delhi-110025 and Property No. 95/C, Gali No. 20, Zakir Nagar, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, New Delhi.

2. In respect of grievances raised in this writ petition, petitioner is said to have made representation to Deputy Commissioner, MCD, as also to Vice Chairman, DDA on 24th February 2026.

3. Mr. Manu Chaturvedi, Standing Counsel for MCD, informs that so far as Property No. 95/C, Gali No. 20, Zakir Nagar, Jamia Nagar, Okhla is concerned, it was booked on 13th January 2026 and demolition order has also been passed.

4. With respect to Property No. 612, Gali No. 35, Zakir Nagar, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, it is informed that the same is not traceable for the reason that no such property, bearing no. 612, is there in the area concerned.

5. In view of the aforesaid, we dispose of this writ petition with direction to the concerned authority/authorities to take proceedings, initiated in respect of Property No. 95/C, to its logical end by taking action which may be permissible under law.

6. So far as Property No. 612 is concerned, petitioner is permitted to make fresh representation to all the authorities, disclosing therein correct identity of the property.

7. The said representation may be made by petitioner within a period of 10 days from today.

8. As and when such representation is made disclosing correct identity of the property concerned, appropriate action which may be warranted under law, shall be initiated and concluded by the authority concerned.

9. We further provide that if law permits any opportunity of putting forth



its case to respondent no.4, such opportunity may also be given to him.

10. This writ petition stands disposed of in above terms.
11. Pending application is rendered infructuous.
12. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 15, 2026/sm/bp