



\$~19

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 2633/2020

VIDYAWATI CHATURVEDI SHIKSHA MAHAVIDYALAYA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ravi Kant,
Mr. Vineet Upadhyay, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder JS Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra, Ms. Tripta
Sharma, Advs. for NCTE

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

20.03.2026

%

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:

*“ A. issue an appropriate writ[s]/direction[s] or order[s] seeking quashing and setting aside the impugned order dated 09.07.2018 passed by the WRC and order dated 15.05.2019 passed by the NCTE whereby the intake of 100 seats were reduced by the WRC, NCTE to 50 seats as also statutory appeal was rejected by the NCTE without considering the application, faculties approved by the affiliating body and infrastructural availability; and/or
B. issue an appropriate writ[s]/direction[s] or order[s] directing the respondents to restore the recognition order dated 12.09.2008 and revised order dated 27.05.2015*



issued by the WRC, NCTE, from next academic session; ...”

2. The present case pertains to the challenge of the impugned Order dated 09.07.2018 wherein the respondent adjudicated the application of the petitioner and the intake of 100 seats for B.ED. course was reduced to 50 seats.

3. The operative portion of the Restoration Order bearing No. F. No. WRC/APW05726/223621/294th/2018/199053 dated 09.07.2018 reads as under:

“AND WHEREAS the clarification letter issued oil 29.06.2018 and reply clarification received on 25.06.2018.

AND WHEREAS, the matter was placed in 294th Meeting held on July 04-05, 2018 of WRC and the Committee decided that "Consequent to the appeal order. Clarification on letter dated 29.06.2018 was issued to the institution regarding the appointment of two lecturers. The institution has appointed two additional staff but they do not have the required NET qualification as per NCTE notification published on 09.06.2017.

Hence, Recognition is restored but with one unit.

NOW THEREFORE, the recognition of VIDYAWATI CHATURVEDI SHIKSHA MAHAVIDYALAYA, RUN BY PRIYAVRAT MAHILA UTTAN SAMITI. HOUSE NO.-38, 40. WARD NO.-33, KHASRA NO., 3402, SARASWATI NAGAR. PANNA ROAD, CHHATTARPUR, MADHYA PRADESH for conducting B.Ed, course with an annual intake of 50 students (one basic units of 50 students) is



hereby revived.”

4. Mr. Manish, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that without prejudice to the fact that the Order is contrary to the judgment dated 11.04.2019 passed in W.P(C) 241/2018, the petitioner has already changed the two lecturers not possessing the required NET qualification and hence this ground of two teachers without the required NET qualifications no longer subsists.

5. He further states that the present petition may be disposed of directing the respondent to treat the present petition as a representation. Mr. Rupal, learned counsel has no objection to the same.

6. In this view of the matter, it is directed that the respondent No. 2 will consider the present petition as a representation and decide the same within 4 weeks from today after giving personal hearing to the representative of the petitioner in accordance with the existing rules.

7. In case there is any deficiency in the documents/additional requirements regarding teachers, the respondent shall prior to deciding the application inform the petitioner in writing and the petitioner would be given an opportunity to rectify the shortcomings.

8. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MARCH 20, 2026/AS