



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4895/2026 & CM APPL. 24013/2026**

SMT. MONIKA YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manik Dogra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Pankaj Kapoor, Mr. Nikhil Bahri,
Mr. Shikhar Singh and Ms. Prerna,
Advocates.

versus

BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LIMITED & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhishek Gupta, Advocate with
Shekhar Gupta Law Officer of BPCL-
respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. Shaileshwar Yadav and Mr.
Naveen Kumar, Advocates for R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% 18.05.2026

1. The petitioner confines the petition to the extent of directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's application for reconstitution of the distributorship- M/s Kitchen and communicating a final decision assigning the reasons in a time-bound manner.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents though, sought time to take instructions, however, the nature of the directions sought in the instant petition will not require the respondents to place a formal reply on record.
3. The respondents are, therefore, directed to take a reasoned decision on



the petitioner's application in a time-bound manner. In any case, let it be done within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order passed today.

4. If the grievance of the petitioner is not mitigated, she shall be at liberty to take appropriate recourse in accordance with law.
5. Petition stands disposed of.
6. All rights and contentions are left open.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

MAY 18, 2026/P