



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2747/2026**

NITIN KUMAR GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Kumar and Mr.
Damodar Kumar, Advocates with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
State with Mr. Gaurav Bisht, Ms.
Ankita Bisht and Mr. Rohit Chaprana,
Advocates with SI Ankush
Mr. Vipul Upadhyay, Advocate for R-
2 to 4 and R-2 to 4 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

30.04.2026

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR bearing No. 673/2024, registered at Police Station Alipur, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Section 106(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNS') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the parties.
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. The petitioner and mother of the deceased i.e., respondent no. 2 and respondent nos. 3 and 4 are present before this Court and have been



identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Alipur, Delhi.

4. Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner is the owner of *Nitin International Godown*, where large paper rolls weighing several tonnes are stored. It is stated that the son of respondent no. 2, namely late Sh. Suraj, was employed at the said godown. On 28.10.2024, during the course of his employment and while performing his duties at the workplace, Suraj unfortunately lost his life due to unintentional and unavoidable circumstances. Thereafter, the present FIR was registered against the petitioner under the relevant Sections. It is also stated that the respondent nos. 2 to 4 also filed a claim for compensation before the Commissioner, Employees' Compensation, District North, Govt. Of NCT of Delhi. It is stated that with intervention of the respected members of the society, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 08.04.2025.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent nos. 2 to 4 who have been identified by the IO, have categorically stated that they have entered into compromise out of their own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is stated that the respondent no. 2 has received the remaining amount of ₹6,00,000/-, out of which ₹2,50,000/- has been paid by the petitioner through Demand Draft (DD) bearing No. 000358 dated 07.02.2026, a sum of ₹3,30,000/- has been transferred via RTGS *vide* Reference No. 0000000000000123, and the remaining ₹20,000/- has been paid in cash. Therefore, they have no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful



purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

7. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 673/2024, registered at Police Station Alipur, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Section 106(1) of BNS and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

APRIL 30, 2026/vc/r