



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 96/2026 CM APPL. 22974/2026 CM APPL.
22977/2026
GODADDY COM LLC**

.....Appellant

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

**BURGER KING COMPANY LLC AND ORS (EARLIER KNOWN
AS BURGER KING CORPORATION)**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raunaq Kamath and Ms. Himani
Thareja, Advs. for R-1.
Mr. Onkar Roy, Mr. Yash Goyal &
Mr. Puneet Singh Yadav, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **28.04.2026**

1. On the last date of hearing, we have passed the following order:

“FAO(OS) (COMM) 96/2026, CM APPL. 22974/2026, CM
APPL. 22977/2026

8. At the outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that he shall not press this appeal against the rogue domain registrants being respondents no. 2 to 4, 13, 24, 26 to 29 and 31. The submission is taken on record. Let amended memo of parties be filed.

9. Mr. Raunaq Kamath, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 1 has filed a brief statement on behalf of the said respondent, which reads as under:-

“Respondent No. 1, the Plaintiff in the suit, submits that, with all due respect and regard to the order under challenge and the steps taken therein to protect the



rightholders, it has no objection if the reliefs in appeal, as sought, are granted and the appeal is disposed of qua Respondent No. 1. The relief which was sought by Respondent No.1 in the suit and which has been granted is not under challenge in the present appeal. In the circumstances. Respondent no.1 does not wish to contest this appeal.

The plaintiff reserves the right to press for appropriate relief in accordance with law at the time of final disposal of the suit.”

10. *In substance, the submission of Mr. Kamath is that the respondent no. 1 shall not be contesting this appeal filed by the appellant herein.*

11. *On this, Mr. Pradyumn Sharma, learned counsel for the appellant seeks some time to take instructions.*

12. *This issue shall be considered on the next date of hearing.*

13. *Issue notice.*

14. *Let notice be issued to the other respondents through all permissible modes including e-mail.*

15. *List on 15.04.2026 before the Registrar for completion of service.*

16. *List before Court on 28.04.2026.”*

2. Today the learned counsel for the Respondent No.1 states that he shall not contest the appeal to the extent the challenge has been made qua the directions against the appellant. He also clarifies that the respondent no. 1 shall not seek the implementation of those directions in favour of Respondent No.1 in any proceedings.

3. The submission is taken on record.

4. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the Respondent No.1, the learned counsel for the appellant states that the appeal be disposed of. It is ordered accordingly.



5. The pending application is also disposed of as having become infructuous.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

APRIL 28, 2026
rt