



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2647/2026, CRL.M.A. 10753/2026**

HARIOM GUPTA@DEEPAK & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. S.K. Dayal, Adv. with
Petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Mr.
Aditya Vikram Singh, Ms. Upasana
Bakshi and Ms. Divya Bakshi,
Adv.
Mr. Sameer Ahmad, Adv. for
complainant/ R-2, with R-2 in
person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
09.04.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.394/2022 dated 20.04.2022 registered at PS.: Kalyanpuri, Delhi under *Sections 354/354B/323/506/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of Mediation Settlement dated 05.11.2024 (**Annexure P2**), whereby the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 have mutually and amicably resolved their disputes and the petition is accompanied by the proofs of



the identities of the parties respectively.

2. Issue notice.

3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Mediation Settlement dated 05.11.2024. She submits that in compliance thereof the petitioner no.1 has already paid her Rs.21,00,000/- out of Rs.28,00,000/- being the total settlement amount and a Demand Draft being DD No.45626 dated 02.04.2026 (Axis Bank, Mayur Vihar) of Rs.7,00,000/- has been handed over to her as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995 *vide* Decree dated 06.02.2025, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.***: (2013) 4 SCC 58, ***Gian Singh vs. State of***



Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.394/2022 dated 20.04.2022 registered at PS.: Kalyanpuri under Sections 354/354B/323/506/34 of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 9, 2026/Ab