



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4579/2026 with CM APPL. 22290/2026 and CM APPL. 22291/2026**

RAJ BANTI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Prakhar Mithal and Mr. Arjun Katyal, Advocates**

versus

**BSES RAJDHANI POWER LIMITED
& ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate with Mr. Manish Srivastava, Mr. Moksh Arora, Mr. Santosh Ramdurg and Ms. Riya Kumar, Advocates for R-1/BSES
Ms. Shilpa Ohri, ASC for MCD with Ms. Shweta Singh, Advocate for R-2/MCD
Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC for DDA with Mr. Saksham Singh, Advocate for R-3/DDA
Mr. Nitin Kumar, Advocate for R-4**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

15.04.2026

CM APPL. 22291/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 4579/2026

2. The present writ petition has been filed seeking direction of



restraining respondent no.1/BSES Rajdhani Power Limited ('BRPL') from carrying out installation of the transformer in the public park situated at M-Block, Prem Nagar, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi ('Subject Park') and directing the respondents to identify and utilize an alternative and suitable site for the said transformer.

3. Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.1/BRPL, submits that the writ petition is premature as no approval for installation of transformer has been received by respondent no.1.

3.1 He submits that an approval has been sought from MCD. However, the approval is yet to be received.

4. In view thereof, I am in agreement with the submissions of the respondent no.1/BRPL that the present writ petition is premature.

5. Accordingly, the same is disposed of while granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation with the MCD with regard to its grievance.

6. Needless to state, the petitioner would be at liberty to invoke appropriate remedy in the event MCD grants permission to respondent no.1 for installing the transformer in the Subject Park.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 15, 2026
ds