



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ LPA 226/2026, CM APPL. 22324/2026, CM APPL. 22325/2026 &
CM APPL. 22326/2026
SWATI SAISTAAppellant

Through: Appellant-in-Person (through VC).

versus

THE GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
& ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi- Panel Counsel
along with Ms. Chandrika Sachdeva &
Mr. Dhruv Kumar, Advocates for
Respondent No.1/GNCTD.
Ms. Pratima N. Lakra- CGSC along
with Ms. Kiran Dharam, Mr.
Shailendra Kumar Mishra & Ms.
Upanita Soumyadarshini, Advocates
for Respondent No.2/Department of
Justice.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

08.04.2026

1. Heard the Appellant-in-Person and Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi learned Panel Counsel representing Respondent No. 1 as well as Ms. Pratima N. Lakra learned CGSC representing Respondent No. 2.
2. This Letters Patent Appeal challenging an order dated 18.12.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge whereby Writ Petition being W.P.(C) 11634/2025 has been disposed of by the learned Single Judge observing that since the prayers made in the writ petition were extremely broad in nature, overreaching and in the nature of Public Interest Litigation (“PIL”), it would



be apposite for the Appellant to file the same as PIL for consideration by the Roster Bench.

3. If we peruse the prayers made in the writ petition, we find ourselves in complete agreement with the learned Single Judge. Accordingly, the Appeal is hereby dismissed. Pending Applications also stand disposed of.

4. It is needless to observe that in terms of the order passed by the learned Single Judge, it is open to the Appellant to file the Petition with the same reliefs as a PIL.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TEJAS KARIA, J

APRIL 8, 2026/ 'A'