



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1130/2026, CRL.M.A. 10699/2026, CRL.M.A. 10700/2026

VISHAL TANEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Munish Mehra and Mr. Kunal Jain, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State with Mr. Alok Sharma, Adv. with SI Beena, PS.: ACP Office/ Dwarka.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

08.04.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction directing the State of NCT of Delhi through the SHO, PS: Dwarka South (Delhi) to strictly and mandatorily comply with the provisions of *Section 35(3)* BNSS in *vide* order dated 15.01.2026 passed in M.A. No.2034/2022 in SLP (Crl.) No.5191/2021 entitled '*Satinder Kumar Antil vs CBI & Anr.*' as also to allow the Petitioner to join the investigation through his counsel and/ or through video conferencing, and to provide his statement by *email*.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the petitioner, though not residing within India, however, is always ready and willing to not only join the investigation but also participate therein,



if, as and when required.

3. Learned ASC for the State on the other hand submits that the State is bound to follow the mandatory procedures in accordance with law and shall issue notice in compliance thereof, if as and when required.

4. Being satisfied, learned counsel for the petitioner do not wish to press for the other reliefs in the present petition.

5. In view thereof, since there is nothing remaining therein, the present petition, alongwith the pending applications, is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 8, 2026/bh