



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4585/2026**

SHONEE KAPOOR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.R.D. Singh, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC
for R-1 and 3/UIDI & DP with Mr.
Nipun Jain, GP and Ms.Aditi Singh,
Adv. along with Mr.Raman Lamba,
DCP (Legal Division) PHQ,
Insp.Vinod, (Legal Division) PHQ, SI
Vijay Pal Singh, PS Nanak Pura,
Delhi.
Mr.Abhinav Singh, Adv. for
R-2/GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

08.04.2026

CM APPL. 22385/2026 (for exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 4585/2026

3. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
4. Having perused the averments made in the writ petition, what we find is that the petition seeks to enforce certain suggestions, which the petitioner



has, for maintenance of database at each police district headquarters regarding complainants who have registered more than one complaint of allegation of rape or sexual offences, in a particular manner namely, by mandatorily taking the identity card, i.e. Aadhar Card from the complainants.

5. As to in what manner such a database is to be maintained is the prerogative and outlook of the police authorities. There is no law which has been brought to our notice which can be said to be infringed, in case the Aadhar Card is not being collected from the complainant.

6. It is a settled law that a writ of mandamus can be issued only in case of infringement of any constitutional, statutory and other rights or in case of failure on the part of the public authorities in performance of their public legal duty.

7. The petition, in fact, appears to be in the form of a suggestion, enforcement of which cannot be sought through a writ of mandamus.

8. Accordingly, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition. However, we may observe that any suggestion which is reflected from a perusal of the writ petition is to be considered, the same may be considered by the police authorities.

9. The writ petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TEJAS KARIA, J

APRIL 8, 2026

“shailndra”