



\$~103

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4420/2026 and CM APPL. 21721/2026**

POOJA TANWAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Aaksh Pathak, Advocate.**

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: **Mr. Abhinav Singh, Advocate for
GNCTD.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **06.04.2026**

1. The petition is for the following reliefs:

- a) *Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the Respondent No. 2 not to cancel, modify, endorse or in any manner interfere with any registered documents pertaining to the properties of the Petitioner without following due process of law and without giving prior notice and opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner;*
- b) *Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction restraining the Respondents from disclosing, issuing or providing certified copies of any documents or property records relating to the Petitioner's properties to any third party without the express consent of the Petitioner or without orders of a competent court;*
- c) *Pass such other or further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case, in the interest of justice.*

2. The petitioner claims to be the owner in possession of certain immovable properties on the basis of registered documents executed in her favour by her husband and father-in-law. It is the case of the petitioner that disputes have arisen between her husband and father-in-law with respect to



the aforesaid properties and that for the same reasons she apprehends that attempts may be made to cancel or interfere with the registered documents of the said properties.

3. The sole grievance of the petitioner pertains to a perceived apprehension that the respondent authorities may, at some future stage, cancel, modify or otherwise interfere with certain registered documents relating to her immovable properties, without giving prior notice and opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

4. The writ petition seems to be wholly misconceived and premature. No such action has been taken as on till date. As and when any action is contemplated by the said authority, the petitioner shall have necessary opportunity of hearing or necessary recourse in accordance with law.

5. For the aforesaid reason, without there being any action, no writ of this nature can be directed to issue.

6. The petition is therefore failed and the same is accordingly dismissed.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

APRIL 6, 2026/Sh/ss