



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2544/2026 & CRL.M.A. 10347/2026**
SIDDHARTH GANGULYPetitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Bhagat, Adv.

Versus

STATE OF N.C.T OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Mr.
Upasana Bakshi and Ms. Divya
Bakshi, Advs.
SI- Pawan Patel, SI- Satyam
Pandey, PS: GK-1

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **18.05.2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition was initiated by him under the *bona fide* belief of the same being maintainable, however, he submits that he shall now be taking appropriate action in accordance with law by filing a revision petition against the impugned order dated 29.01.2026 before the learned Trial Court.
2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law.
3. Needless to mention, the petitioner, under the factual matrix involved as also the legal position arising therefrom, shall be given due benefit of *Section 14* of the Limitation Act, 1963.



4. The present petition, alongwith the pending application, is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MAY 18, 2026/Ab