



\$~100

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1107/2026**

**MADHUR JAIN**

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given).  
Petitioner (in-person).

versus

**THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR** .....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for  
the State.  
Mr. Ashish Jain and Mr. Naman Jain,  
Advocates for Respondent No. 2(in-  
person).

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI**

**ORDER**

%

**06.04.2026**

**CRL.M.A. 10408/2026 (exemption)**

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

**W.P.(CRL) 1107/2026**

By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of case FIR No. 0049/2026 dated 20.02.2026 registered under sections 281/125(a) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS') at P.S.: Shalimar Bagh, North West, Delhi.

2. The petition is premised on Compromise Deed/Memorandum of Settlement dated 23.03.2026 whereby the petitioner and respondent No. 2 have resolved the matter amicably.



3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioner and of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The court has interacted with the respondent No. 2, as also with the petitioner, who has confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and a Compromise Deed/Memorandum of Settlement dated 23.03.2026 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
6. Mr. Sanjay Lao, learned SC confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
7. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
8. Accordingly case FIR No. 0049/2026 dated 20.02.2026 registered under sections 281/125(a) of the BNS at P.S.: Shalimar Bagh, North West, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.



9. Petition stands disposed-of.
10. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

**ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J**

**APRIL 6, 2026**

SS