



\$~13 to 15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3703/2021, CM APPL. 11227/2021, CM APPL. 26986/2021, CM APPL. 32997/2021, CM APPL. 34604/2022

MR. K.P.RAO

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankur Yadav (SPC) with Mr. Kartik Sharma, Advocate.

Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Senior Advocate, Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Mr. Sidharth Chopra, Mr. Nitin Sharma, Mr. Ranjeet Singh Sidhu, Ms. Swikriti Singhania, Ms. Kritika Sachdeva, Mr. Tribhuvan Narain Singh, and Mr. Abhay Aren, Advocates for R-6

14

+ W.P.(C) 8742/2021, CM APPL. 27241/2021

VIRENDER KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akshay Mann, Mr. Sravan Kumar, Mr. Gaurav Dahiya, Mr. Akshay Khaiwal, Advocates

versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Mr. Rajkumar Maurya, Mr. Krishna Chaitanya, Mr. Divyansh Singh Dev, Advocates for UOI.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar and Mr. Changez Khan, Advocate for CBI.



Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Mr. Sidharth Chopra, Mr. Nitin Sharma, Mr. Ranjeet Singh Sidhu, Ms. Swikriti Singhania, Ms. Kritika Sachdeva, Mr. Tribhuvan Narain Singh and Mr. Abhay Aren, Advocates for R-3

15

+ W.P.(C) 11216/2024

VIRENDER KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akshay Mann, Mr. Sravan Kumar, Mr. Gaurav Dahiya, Mr. Akshay Khaiwal, Advocates

versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA, THROUGH ITS ADMINISTRATOR AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Mr. Rajkumar Maurya, Mr. Krishna Chaitanya and Mr. Divyansh Singh Dev, Advocates. Mr. Sandeep Sethi, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Mr. Sidharth Chopra, Mr. Nitin Sharma, Mr. Ranjeet Singh Sidhu, Ms. Swikriti Singhania, Ms. Kritika Sachdeva, Mr. Tribhuvan Narain Singh and Mr. Abhay Aren, Advocates for R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

29.04.2026

1. The order dated 28.07.2025 would indicate that the hearing of these



cases was deferred awaiting the outcome of the proceedings pending before the Supreme Court in W.P.(C) No. 000093/2025, pertaining to the concerned federation.

2. Learned counsel for the parties fairly submits that there are some overlapping issues. They, therefore, submits that the adjudication by the Supreme Court will have some bearing on the instant petitions as well.

3. The Court, thus, instead of deferring the hearing, dispose of these writ petitions granting liberty to the parties to file fresh petitions, in case, after pronouncement of judgment by the Supreme Court in the pending petition, the cause remains unaddressed.

4. With the aforesaid observations and liberty, instant petition along with all pending applications stand disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

APRIL 29, 2026

Ar