



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1314/2026**

RISHABH SEHGAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Senior Advocate
with Mr. J.P. Singh, Mr. Sanjog
Singh, Mr. Atin Chadha, Ms.
Munisha Chadha and Mr. Dhruv
Tomar, Advocates

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State with SI Gunjan Sirohi, P.S.
Rajouri Garden

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

16.04.2026

1. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks grant of anticipatory bail in a case arising out of FIR bearing no. 548/2025, registered at Police Station Rajouri Garden, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 64(1)/127(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter '*BNS*').
2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. Briefly stated, the allegations in the present case are that on the night of 16.10.2025, at about 10:30 PM, the complainant had attended a Diwali party at a premises situated in Subhash Nagar, Delhi, along with her close friend Amandeep Singh Kohli. The party was hosted by the accused, Rishab



Sehgal, on the rooftop of the said premises and was attended by around 15–20 persons. During the party, the complainant consumed drinks. It is alleged that at around 3:30 AM, when the complainant felt extremely sleepy, she was made to lie down in a room by her friend Amandeep and his friend Pulkit, who thereafter left the room. After some time, the complainant felt someone on top of her and, upon opening her eyes, found the present accused lying on her and sexually assaulting her. Upon resistance, the accused allegedly moved away, ran out of the room, and locked her inside. The complainant thereafter informed her friend, who opened the door, and when an attempt was made to contact the accused, he had already fled from the spot. A PCR call was immediately made, after which the complainant was taken for a medical examination. As she was under the effect of medication, her statement could not be recorded at that stage. The crime scene was inspected by the crime team, and relevant exhibits were collected and sealed. Subsequently, after the complainant was found fit, her statement was recorded, on the basis of which the present FIR was registered.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the earlier bail application, i.e., BAIL APPLN. 4893/2025 filed before this Court, was inadvertently withdrawn, and thereafter, another application being BAIL APPLN. 193/2026 was filed, which came to be dismissed on 19.01.2026. It is further submitted that a subsequent development has arisen in the present case, inasmuch as the applicant and the complainant have entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and have amicably resolved all their disputes and misunderstandings. It is also submitted that a petition seeking quashing of the present FIR is presently pending consideration before another Bench of this Court. In view of the aforesaid, it



is prayed that the applicant be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

5. The learned APP for the State fairly concedes that the complainant and the prosecutrix have entered into a MOU dated 08.03.2026 and have amicably settled their disputes.

6. The prosecutrix is present in person and submits that the present FIR came to be registered on account of certain misunderstandings. She further affirms that the Memorandum of Understanding dated 08.03.2026 has been duly executed between the parties and has been placed on record.

7. This Court has **heard** arguments on behalf of the applicant, the complainant, and the State, and has perused the material on record.

8. This Court notes that a subsequent development has arisen in the present case that the present applicant/accused and the complainant have amicably resolved their disputes and in this regard a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 08.03.2026 has been placed on record.

9. Having gone through the material available on record and in view of the subsequent new development in the present case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant and in the event of arrest, the applicant shall be released on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/I.O. concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall join the investigation as and when called by the I.O.
- ii) The applicant shall remain available on the mobile numbers shared by him with the Police.
- iii) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned court.



- iv) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make an attempt to influence the witnesses or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
 - iv) In case of a change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned court as well I.O./SHO.
10. The bail application is allowed in the above terms.
 11. Nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
 12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

**APRIL 16, 2026/ns
AP**