



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1310/2026 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 699/2026**

SHUEB

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rohit Kheriwal, Mr. Aditya
Sagar & Ms. Chhabi Jain,
Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Tarang Srivastava, APP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **12.05.2026**

1. By way of this application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ["BNSS"], the applicant seeks anticipatory bail in connection with FIR 09/2026, dated 06.01.2026, registered at Cyber Police Station, District North West, Delhi, under Sections 308, 318(4), 319, and 340 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ["BNS"].

2. I have heard Mr. Rohit Kheriwal, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mr. Tarang Srivastava, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. While issuing notice in the present application vide order dated 06.04.2026, the Investigating Officer ["IO"] was also directed to inform/serve notice upon respondent No. 2/complainant. A status report has been handed up in Court today by Mr. Srivastava, which includes proof of service of notice upon respondent No. 2. Despite service,



respondent No. 2 has not entered appearance. The status report is taken on record.

4. By the order dated 06.04.2026, interim protection from arrest was granted to the applicant, recording as follows:

“3. The FIR arises out of a complaint alleging financial fraud, wherein the complainant was induced to part with a sum of Rs. 1,10,000/- on the promise of supply of electronic products at concessional rates. It is alleged that an individual by the name of Sagar extended such inducement to the complainant, and upon the transfer of the aforesaid amount, the goods supplied to her were found to be counterfeit.

4. A sum of Rs. 1,10,000/- was remitted electronically to the accounts of co-accused, Aarif [Rs. 50,000/-] and Aasif [Rs. 60,000/-], who are stated to be brothers; both of whom have since been arrested.

5. As regards the present applicant, it is stated that his mobile number [8439114213] was linked to the bank account of co-accused Aasif, into which the aforesaid amount was received.

6. Mr. Singh, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, who is present in Court, submits that the applicant is required to join the investigation for the purpose of tracing the persons involved in the offence; however, his custodial interrogation is not considered necessary at this stage.

7. In view of the above, it is directed that, subject to the applicant joining the investigation on 07.04.2026 at 04:00 PM and reporting to the Investigating Officer as and when required thereafter, he shall not be arrested in connection with the said FIR until the next date of hearing before this Court.”

5. In the status report taken on record today, the prosecution has stated that the petitioner joined the investigation on 07.04.2026, and that, upon his interrogation, it was revealed that the mobile number [8439114213], which was registered in his name, was being used by co-accused Aasif, who had already been arrested. I am informed that Aasif has since been granted bail by the learned Trial Court *vide* order dated 09.04.2026.



6. Mr. Srivastava states, upon instructions from the IO, that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required and that the petitioner is cooperating with the investigation.

7. In view of the reasons stated in the order dated 06.04.2026, and the position taken by the prosecution today, it is directed that in the event of arrest in connection with FIR 09/2026, dated 06.01.2026, registered at Cyber Police Station, District North West, Delhi, the petitioner will be released on bail, upon furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/-, and one surety in the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the IO/Station House Officer [“SHO”], and subject to the following conditions:

- a. The petitioner will report to the IO, as and when required by the IO, and will cooperate in the investigation.
 - b. The petitioner shall furnish his residential address to the concerned IO/SHO, and shall not change the address without informing the IO/SHO.
 - c. The petitioner shall furnish his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO, and shall ensure that the said mobile number remains operational and switched on at all times. The mobile number shall not be changed, nor shall the phone be switched off, without prior intimation to the IO/SHO.
 - d. The petitioner shall not directly/indirectly try to get in touch with the complainant or tamper with the evidence.
 - e. The petitioner shall not commit any offence during the pendency of these proceedings.
8. The bail application, alongwith the pending application, is disposed



of.

9. It is clarified that the observations made herein are solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case, nor shall they prejudice the rights and contentions of the parties at any stage of the proceedings.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MAY 12, 2026
'pv/JM'/