



\$~3 to 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 384/2025 and CM APPL.9839/2026 (delay)

DHARAMVIR SINGHPetitioner

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda and Mr. Aayushman
Aeron, Advocates.

versus

GOVIND MOHAN & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, SPC alongwith
Mr. Sunil, Advocates for UOI.

4

+ CONT.CAS(C) 386/2025

AMRIT SINGHPetitioner

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda and Mr. Aayushman
Aeron, Advocates.

versus

GOVIND MOHAN & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC
alongwith Ms. Pinky Pawar, GP and
Ms. Himanshi Singh, Advocates.

5

+ CONT.CAS(C) 389/2025 and CM APPLs.9725/2026, 9728/2026

KRISHAN KUMAR SINGHALPetitioner

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda and Mr. Aayushman
Aeron, Advocates.

versus

SH. GOVIND MOHAN & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, SPC alongwith
Mr. Sunil, Advocates for UOI.

6

+ CONT.CAS(C) 427/2025

ISHWAR SINGH YADAVPetitioner

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda and Mr. Aayushman
Aeron, Advocates.

versus

GOVIND MOHAN & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms. Shiva Lakshmi and Mr. Madhav
Bajaj, Advocates.



7

+ CONT.CAS(C) 429/2025
MOHAN SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda and Mr. Aayushman
Aeron, Advocates.

versus

GOVIND MOHAN & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy,
CGSC alongwith Mr. Ankit Khatri,
Ms. Usha Jamnal and Ms. Nyasa
Sharma, Advocates.
Inspector Athurv, CRPF and HC Anil
Kumar, CRPF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

12.02.2026

1. The present petitions allege non-compliance of the directions contained in the judgement/ order dated 05.03.2015 in W.P.(C) 972/2015 (and connected matters), order dated 17.04.2015 in W.P. (C) 3734/2015 (and connected matters), and order dated 28.08.2015 in W.P. (C) 8168/2015 (and connected matters), whereby, the respondents were directed to grant the petitioners the benefits of the second ACP.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that although the respondents have purported to grant the benefit of ACP Scheme to the petitioners, the same has not been done in consonance with the provisions of the said Scheme, thereby resulting in infraction of the directions contained in the aforesaid judgment/orders dated 05.03.2015, 17.04.2015 and 28.08.2015.
3. Attention is drawn to the fact that in terms of the ACP Scheme, the financial upgradation is required to be given to the next higher grade in



accordance with the “*existing hierarchy in the cadre/category of post*”. It is submitted that instead of granting upgradation to the petitioners to the next higher grade, these petitioners have been sought to be granted upgradation to the post of ASI which was not even in existence on the relevant date with effect from which the ACP benefits have been sought to be given to the petitioners.

4. It is submitted that the post of ASI was created only post 2010. At the relevant point of time, the petitioners were required to be financially upgraded as to the pay grade applicable to the next higher post i.e. Sub-Inspector (SI).

5. In light thereof, the respondents are directed to re-consider/re-visit the Office Order dated 30.06.2025 whereby the ACP benefits have been sought to be granted to the present petitioners.

6. Let an opportunity of hearing be given by the concerned officials to the petitioners and pass orders thereafter. Let the aforesaid exercise be concluded within a period of 4 weeks from today.

7. The present petitions stand disposed of in the above terms. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

8. In case the petitioners are aggrieved by the outcome of the aforesaid exercise, they shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies in accordance with law/revive the present petitions. Petitioners are also at liberty to submit a note of submissions for the assistance of the concerned officials.

SACHIN DATTA, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2026/at