



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2447/2026, CRL.M.A. 9983/2026**

RAKESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Roshan Lal Saini and Ms.
Kavita Saini, Advs. with petitioner
in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Aditya Vikram
Singh, Advocate with ASI Vidya
Devi, SI B. Yadav and SI Udit with
ASI Vidya, PS.: Ranhola.
Mr. G. M. Gupta, Adv. for
complainant/ R-2 with R-2 present
in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

02.04.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR No.759/2020 dated 31.07.2020 registered at PS.: Ranhola under *Sections 292/506* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Mediation Settlement dated 30.08.2024 [**Annexure P3**] arrived at between the petitioner and the mother of respondent no.2 as also the affidavit of respondent no.2 giving 'no objection'/ consent for quashing the aforesaid FIR [**Annexure P8**], which is accompanied by the respective proofs of identities of the parties herein.



2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
4. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.
5. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.
6. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.759/2020 dated 31.07.2020 registered at PS.: Ranhola under *Sections 292/506* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.
7. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending application, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

APRIL 2, 2026/bh