



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1058/2026, CRL.M.As. 9968/2026, 9969/2026 & 9970/2026

VIKRAM CHAURASIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. P. S.Sridhar Raj, Mr. Abhishek Pandey and Ms.Sharmila Lenka, Advocates alongwith petitioners in person

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing counsel for the State with Mr. Anjan Sachdeva and Mr. Abhinav Tiwari, Advocates alongwith SI Anju, PS Kotla Mubarakpur R-2 & 3 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

02.04.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***) read with *Article 226* of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.511/2023 dated 24.11.2023 registered at PS.: Kotla Mubarakpur, Delhi under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view Settlement Deed dated 13.09.2025 (***Annexure P/2***), whereby the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 have mutually and amicably resolved their disputes and



the petition is accompanied by the respective proofs of identities of the parties herein.

2. Issue notice.

3. Learned SC for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, also accept notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Deed dated 13.09.2025, whereby the petitioner no.1 has already paid respondent nos.2 a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- out of the total settlement amount of Rs.2,50,000/- and rest of the amount has been paid through UPI (*UPI transaction ID 609264925638*), copy whereof has been handed over in Court, to her today as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995 *vide* Decree dated 19.12.2025, and as such, she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioners and the respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, as well as their credentials as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra***



Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58, Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303 and Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.511/2023 dated 24.11.2023 registered at PS.: Kotla Mubarakpur, Delhi *under Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition, alongwith the pending applications, is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

APRIL 2, 2026/rr