



\$~94

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2413/2026**

KAPIL MEENA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ranjan Sharma and Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Advocates alongwith petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the State with ASI Ramniwas, P.S. KNK Marg, Delhi and SI Yag Dutt
Mr. Akshat Sharma, Mr. Surya Singh, Mr. Himanshu Sharma and Mr. PCS Yadav, Advocates for R-2 alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **01.04.2026**

CRL.M.A. 9848/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2413/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing No. 161/2018, registered at Police Station K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 406/498A/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and Section 4 of Dowry



Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereafter '*DP Act*').

4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi.

5. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 28.11.2011 as per the Hindu rites and customs at Delhi and were living with each other. One male child was born out of the wedlock, who is in custody of respondent no. 2. After some time, due to temperamental differences and disputes between the parties, both the parties could not reside with each other. Thereafter, on the complaint of respondent no.2 before the CAW Cell, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections.

6. It is stated that during the pendency of the case, both the parties have amicably settled their disputes *vide* Settlement dated 01.07.2024 before the learned Judge, Family Court, West District, Tis Hazari, Delhi. Thereafter, both the parties had dissolved their marriage by way of mutual consent before the concerned Court.

7. The respondent no. 2 is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received an amount of ₹1,50,000/- by way of a Demand Draft bearing No. 306377 dated 23.03.2026 drawn on HDFC Bank.

8. This Court notes that the custody of minor child is with respondent no. 2 and the future rights of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or



threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

10. Although, the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have not been filed by the learned counsel for the petitioners, however, the parties will remain bound by it.

11. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

12. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 161/2018, registered at Police Station K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 406/498A/34 of the IPC and Section 4 of D.P. Act. alongwith all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

13. In view of the above, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

14. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

APRIL 01, 2026/ns