



\$~86

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% *Date of Decision: 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2026*

+ CRL.M.C. 2365/2026

SAAJAN Y SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pradeep Sehrawat with Ms. Manju  
Sehrawat, Advocates with petitioners  
in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for the State with  
SI Bharti and SI Divyanshu, PS  
Dwarka North.  
Respondent No.2 (through V.C).  
Father of respondent No.2 in Court.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN**

**J U D G M E N T (oral)**

**CRL.M.A. 9624/2026 (exemption)**

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

**CRL.M.C. 2365/2026**

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No.676/2022 dated 20.09.2022, registered at Police Station Dwarka North, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.
2. Marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized on 25.02.2020 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. There is no child from the abovesaid wedlock.



3. However, on account of some matrimonial discord and temperamental differences, the parties started residing separately and when a complaint was lodged by respondent No. 2, it resulted into in registration of abovesaid FIR.
4. Charge-sheet has been filed, *albeit*, cognizance is yet to be taken.
5. Fact, however, remains that when the parties were referred to Mediation, they were able to amicably resolve the matter under the *aegis* of *Counselling Cell, Family Court, South-West District, Dwarka* on 24.02.2024.
6. Respondent No. 2 has joined the proceedings through *video-conferencing*. Father of respondent No.2 is present in Court. Investigating officer has also joined the proceedings through *video-conferencing* and has duly identified respondent No. 2.
7. When asked, respondent No. 2 reiterated the terms of abovesaid settlement and submits that there is already a divorce between them by way of mutual consent on 17.07.2025. She states that she has agreed to accept a total sum of Rs.10,00,000/- as full and final settlement *in lieu* of *istridhan*, alimony, maintenance for self (past, present and future) and exchange of jewellery. She submits that she has already received a sum of Rs.7,00,000/- from the petitioners and today, the balance amount of Rs.3,00,000/- has been credited in her bank account by way of online transfer. Such fact has also been confirmed by her father, who is present in Court. She submits that she has entered into the abovesaid settlement out of her own free will, without any coercion and influence from any corner whatsoever and therefore, she would have '*no objection*' if the FIR is quashed.
8. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, continuing with criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose, especially, when dispute does not involve any public interest and is, primarily, private in nature. In any



case, even the complainant does not wish to press any charges against the petitioners.

9. Accordingly, exercising inherent powers vested in this Court under Section 528 of *Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, it is deemed appropriate to quash the instant FIR.

10. Consequently, to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.676/2022 dated 20.09.2022, registered at Police Station Dwarka North, for commission of offences under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is, hereby, quashed. Original affidavits of the parties shall be submitted before the learned Trial Court within four weeks.

11. The petition stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

**(MANOJ JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**MAY 19, 2026**  
**st/sa**