



\$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 517/2026**

SARDAR GURVINDER SINGHPetitioner

Through: **Mr. Ayush Gupta, Adv.**

versus

SANJEEV KHIRWARRespondent

Through: **Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, Adv., MCD.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **30.03.2026**

CM APPL.19799/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 517/2026

3. The present petition alleges wilful disobedience of the directions contained in the order dated 23.12.2025 passed in W.P(C) 19564/2025. The operative directions therein are as under:

“11. Accordingly, it is directed as follows:

i. The petitioner shall appear before the Executive Engineer, BuildingII, Keshav Puram Zone, MCD on 07th January, 2026 at 11:00 AM.

ii. The petitioner shall be granted hearing with respect to the amounts already deposited by the petitioner towards conversion charges.

iii. The MCD shall also consider the undertaking and the representation of the petitioner with regard to operating the banquet hall only after obtaining the requisite



licenses/permission, as required.

iv. Upon hearing, the petitioner shall also be entitled to submit before the MCD, any other document, as may be required for the purposes of considering the case of the petitioner.

v. The MCD shall consider the submissions and documents of the petitioner and pass a speaking order as to whether any further amount is payable by the petitioner towards conversion charges.

vi. At the time of hearing, the Deputy Health Officer of Keshav Puram Zone, shall also be present, in order to consider the request of the petitioner for de-sealing.

vii. The petitioner shall comply with the directions as may be issued by the MCD for the purposes of de-sealing of the premises.

viii. In case, the MCD is satisfied with the compliances made by the petitioner, the MCD shall proceed to pass requisite order with regard to de-sealing of the premises of the petitioner.

ix. The decision shall be taken by the MCD, within a time bound manner, preferably, within a period of eight weeks.

x. In case the petitioner is aggrieved by any order passed by the MCD, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek his legal remedies.”

4. Issue notice.

5. Learned counsel, as aforesaid, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent / Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD). He submits that in terms of the aforesaid directions, a hearing was granted to the petitioner. He submits that the said exercise shall be taken to its logical conclusion and necessary orders shall be passed within a period of two weeks.

6. The said statement is taken on record.



7. No further orders are required to be passed in the present petition. The same is accordingly, disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MARCH 30, 2026/cl