



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2315/2026**

ANUJ KUMAR MAHTO & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Rishika, Advocate (DHCLSC)
along with petitioners-in-person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with ASI Harswaroop, P S Sarai
Rohilla and SI Nitin.
Mr. Jai Prakash Prasad, Advocate for
R-2 alongwith R-2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

% **ORDER**
04.04.2026

CRL.M.A. 9447/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2315/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 625/2020, registered at Police Station Burari, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. All the petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court



in person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Burari, Delhi.

6. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 08.06.2019 as per the Hindu rites and customs. No child was born from their wedlock. After some time, due to different lifestyles and temperamental differences, both the parties could not reside with each other and since August, 2019, petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 have started living separately from each other. Thereafter, on the complaint of respondent no.2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections.

7. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Memorandum of Understanding dated 27.03.2025, entered between them.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and she had received the amount of Rs.3,00,000/- *vide* Demand Draft (DD) bearing no. 939980 dated 30.03.2026, drawn on State Bank of India. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 625/2020, registered at Police Station Burari, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. It is clarified that in case the respondent no. 2 is unable to receive the payment due to any discrepancy in the demand draft, the present order will be recalled.

12. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

APRIL 04, 2026/zp/td