



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2322/2026**

ARVIND KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aikansh Gupta, Advocate with
petitioner.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Mr. Gourav Singh, Adv.
ASI Jeet Singh, PS Budh Vihar.
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate
respondent Nos.2 to 7 with
respondents in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

22.04.2026

CRL.M.A. 9458/2026 (*Exemption*)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The present application is disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 12504/2026 (*Delay*)

3. By virtue of the present application, the applicant seeks condonation of delay of *six days* in filing of the fresh Memorandum of Understanding.
4. In view of the assertions made in the application, the same is allowed and the fresh Memorandum of Understanding is taken on record.
5. Accordingly, the present application is disposed of.



CRL.M.C. 2322/2026

6. By virtue of the present application under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***) read with *Section 482* of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (***Cr.P.C.***), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.689/2020 dated 23.11.2020, PS.: Model Town, Delhi registered under *Sections 279/338* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the fresh Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 23.02.2026 [**Page 76**] arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent nos.2 to 6.

7. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

8. Respondent nos.2 to 7 present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms that they have no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

9. The petitioner and the respondent no.2 to 7, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

10. Though this Court is well-aware of the offences involved as also the assertions made in the present FIR, however, considering that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 to 7 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect as also the fact that the parties are neighbours, thus, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the



prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

11. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.689/2020 dated 23.11.2020, PS: Model Town, Delhi registered under *Sections 279/338* IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

12. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 22, 2026/NA