



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **TR.P.(CRL.) 23/2025**

ANKUR RAJPUT AND ORSPetitioners
Through: **Mr. Amit Sharma and Mr. Shirish Gupta, Advocates**

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents
Through: **Mr. Ritesh K. Bahri, APP for the State alongwith W/SI Om Yadav, P.S.-Palam Village**

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **06.01.2026**

CRL.M.A. _____/2026 (to be numbered) [Diary No.10964/2026]

1. This application has been filed seeking permission to withdraw the captioned transfer petition, as it has been filed by only one of the four petitioners in the transfer petition.
2. Mr. Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioners, seeks permission to withdraw the application with liberty to file afresh.
3. In view thereof, the application is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

CRL.M.A. _____/2025 (to be numbered) [Diary No.13538/2026] & TR.P.(CRL.) 23/2025 & CRL.M.As. 7346-7347/2025, 26516-26517/2025

4. This application has been filed by the petitioners in the course of



the day for withdrawal of the captioned transfer petition.

5. The application is signed by three of the petitioners, namely Mr. Ankur Rajput, Ms. Priyanka Rajput, and Mr. Shri Kishan, and is accompanied by a *Vakalatnama* executed in their favour appointing Mr. Shirish Gupta, Advocate, as their counsel. The aforesaid documents have been handed up in Court, and are taken on record.

6. Although the application is not signed by petitioner No. 3 – Ms. Kalpana Rajput, Mr. Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioners, whose *Vakalatnama* is on record on behalf of all four petitioners, states that he has instructions from all the petitioners to withdraw the captioned petition.

7. In view of the above, the petitioners are permitted to withdraw the captioned transfer petition alongwith all pending applications.

8. Accordingly, the present transfer petition, alongwith all pending applications, is dismissed as withdrawn.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JANUARY 6, 2026
dy/SD/