



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2243/2026**

KAPIL KUMAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Brahm Kumar Pandey with Mr.
Pradeep Kr. Yadav, Advocates.
Petitioners in-person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP for the
State.
SI Shubhanshu, P.S.: Kalyanpuri.
R-2 in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

27.03.2026

CRL.M.A. 9192/2026 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 2243/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners, who are the former husband and father-in-law of the complainant/respondent No. 2, seek quashing of case FIR No. 289/2018 dated 05.06.2018 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Kalyanpuri, Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on “समझौता नामा” dated 11.02.2021 arrived at between the parties.
3. The petition is supported by affidavits of the petitioners, as also of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The petitioners as well as respondent No. 2 are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The parties have confirmed that two children, viz. Yuvaan and Yashika, are born from the wedlock, who are minor as of date.
6. The parties are stated to have resolved all their disputes amicably and in view of the settlement, respondent No.2 has re-joined the company of petitioner No. 1 on 12.12.2021 and they have been living together ever since, alongwith their children.
7. The court has queried respondent No.2, who confirms that a “समझौता नामा” dated 11.02.2021 has been entered into between the parties. Respondent No. 2 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
8. Ms. Kiran Bairwa, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
9. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in **Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all



subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.

10. Accordingly, FIR No. 289/2018 dated 05.06.2018 registered under sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S.: Kalyanpuri, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
11. Petition stands disposed-of.
12. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 27, 2026/ak