



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 220/2023, I.A. 6466/2023**

ARJUN GOYAL & ANR.

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Ms Kritika Gupta, Advocate with Plaintiff No 2 in person, mother of the Plaintiffs in person and Plaintiff No.1 through VC.

versus

AASHIMA KANSAL KUCHHAL AND ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Tarang Agarwal, Mr. Ayush Khare, Mr. Mrigank Kumar, Ms. Ananya C. Ray, Advocates
Mr. Yogesh Gautam, Adv. for D-5 (SBI)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

12.02.2026

1. The present Suit is one for partition, injunction and declaration in respect of the Suit Properties as mentioned in the Plaint.
2. Material on record indicates that earlier the Parties were referred to mediation, however, the mediation between the Parties failed.
3. Today, learned Counsel appearing for the Plaintiffs, on instructions, prays for withdrawal of the present Suit.
4. Plaintiff No.2 and the Mother of Plaintiff Nos.1 & 2 are present in Court, while the Plaintiff No.1 has joined the proceedings through video conferencing. They, in unison, state that they do not wish to pursue the present Suit any longer and as such, seek permission to withdraw the present



Suit.

5. The statements of Plaintiff No.2 and the mother of Plaintiffs, who is the Special Power of Attorney-holder of Plaintiff No.1, have been recorded separately.

6. In view of the statement made by the learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs as well as taking on record the statement made by the Plaintiffs, the Suit is disposed of as withdrawn in terms of Order XXIII Rule 1(4), along with pending application(s), if any.

7. No order as to costs.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2026

S. Zakir