



\$~108

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 992/2026**

DEEPAK ARORA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Tushar Rohmetra with Mr.
Anubhav Sharma, Advocates.
Petitioners in-person.

versus

STATE & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
the State with SI Gautam, and SI Lal
Chand, P.S.; Shahbad Dairy.
Respondents Nos. 2 and 3 *via* video-
conferencing.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

27.03.2026

CRL.M.A. 9357/2026

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

W.P.(CRL) 992/2026

By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No.882/2024 dated 12.12.2024 registered under sections 318(4)/316(2)/61(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS') at P.S.: Shahbad Dairy, Delhi, on consent.

2. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Understanding dated 12.02.2026 executed between the petitioners and respondent No.2; and Memorandum of Understanding dated 12.02.2026 executed



between the petitioners and respondent No.3, whereby the parties have resolved the matter amicably.

3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondents Nos. 2 and 3, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. Petitioners are present in court and respondents Nos.2 and 3 have joined the proceedings *via* video-conferencing. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The court has interacted with respondents Nos.2 and 3, as also with petitioners, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and 02 Memorandums of Understanding both dated 12.02.2026 have been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
6. Mr. Sanjay Lao, learned Standing Counsel (Criminal) confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
7. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
8. Accordingly, case FIR No.882/2024 dated 12.12.2024 registered under sections 318(4)/316(2)/61(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the BNS at P.S.:



Shahbad Dairy, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.

9. Petition stands disposed-of.
10. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 27, 2026
ds