$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010117452024**

\+ W.P.(C) 3547/2024

SUNIL KUMAR & ORS. .....Petitioners

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda, Mr. Aditya Hooda and Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advs. (9868280166)

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. .....Respondents

Through: Ms. Astha Gupt, Adv. for R1 (8826074954)
Mr. Vijay Kinger, Ms. Roopa Nagpal, Mr. Rakesh Malhotra AND Mr. Manas Sood, Advs. for R5 and R6 (9818539996)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

O R D E R

% 12.10.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at the time of filing of the present petition, Khasra No.606, Village Sabhapur admeasuring *26 bighas* and *14 biswas* of land was vacant, however, the same is contrary to the prayers sought in the present petition.

2. Further, though it is the case of the petitioner in the present petition that *"... ...some unscrupulous persons/ land mafia have been trying to encroach and take illegal possession of the Subject Land. Towards this end, unlawful construction is also being carried out on the Subject*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/10/2026 at 11:49:48

Land...”, however, learned counsel for the petitioners is unable to give a clear picture, particularly, since the filing of the present writ petition also, he is still not aware as to who all are actually occupying/ residing/ using the said premises, which are forming a part of the subject land involved herein.

3. Considering the same raises disputed *questions of fact*s, as also, *admittedly*, that none of those who are actually occupying/ residing/ using the said premises, which are forming a part of the subject land involved herein have been impleaded by the petitioners in the present petition, the present writ petition is not maintainable in the present form.

4. Lastly, neither the assertions made and/ or grounds raised in the present petition nor the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners demonstrate any kind of violation(s) of the fundamental/ legal right(s) of the petitioners, thereby calling for any interference by this Court under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is dismissed.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

OCTOBER 12, 2026/Ab

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/10/2026 at 11:49:48