



\$~91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 493/2026**

TRENDYTECH INSIGHTS LLP

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Patel, Adv. (through v/c)

versus

TELEGRAM FZ LLC & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Madhav Khosla and Mr. Yajas
Achal, Adv. for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

25.03.2026

CM APPL.18899/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 493/2026

3. The present petition alleges wilful disobedience of the directions contained in the orders dated 30.05.2025 and 01.09.2025 passed by the District Judge (Commercial Court)-02, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi.
4. *Vide* said order dated 30.05.2025, it was directed as under:

"It is further submitted that the plaintiff has 1.39L subscribers to his YouTube Channel: <https://www.youtube.com/@sumitmittal07>, which is in operation since 16th January, 2018 and has garnered over 64.50L views, screen shots thereof are depicted in para no. 7 of the plaint.

It is further stated that the defendant no.1 is a Dubai based company engaged in a cloud based instant messaging and voice over IP service. The defendant no.1 company runs an application Telegram' available for download on android, IOS, Windows, phone, Window, MacOS and Linux users. The defendant no. 1 is an instant messaging



service like Whatsapp. Defendant no.2 are anonymous entities, which are administrators, subscribers or users of platform of defendant no.1 and which are creating or using rogue channels that appear quietly and circulate infringing material as detailed in Para no. 24 of the plaint.

It is further stated in or around last week of May, 2023, the plaintiff discovered that there are many channels running on defendant no.1 platform, which are using the name of plaintiff as the admin of such channels, thereby impersonating to engage with innocent users/ subscribers in order to dupe them and cause monetary loss to them while representing that the plaintiff, being the expert in the stock market analytics, would make them earn good profits in the stock market. The plaintiff fm1her observed that the contents belonging to the plaintiff illegally downloaded copied from his website, YouTube channel, and other social media platforms, including Telegram App, on which, the plaintiff has his profile or accounts.

In all fairness, Ld. Counsel of plaintiff submits that at this stage, he is only pressing the relevant prayer to the extent that defendant no.1 be directed to take down all the links I URLs, as per details provided in Para no.24 of the plaint immediately.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel of defendant no.1 , on instructions, states at Bar that the defendant no.1 undertakes that the aforesaid links/ URLs containing the alleged infringing videos, contents and impugned materials as per details provided in para no.24 of the plaint, shall be taken down by defendant no.1, if not so already taken down, within two days from the date of this order.

Ld. Counsel of defendant no. 1, on instructions, further submitted that the said defendant is also willing to take down future instances of infringing activities of similar nature to the present plaint, as and when they are brought to its notice, as expeditiously as possible and in accordance with law, on which, Ld. Counsel of plaintiff submits that the plaintiff shall notify the details of such links/ URLs, if any, coming to their notice in future, to defendant no.1 in terms of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines & Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021.



Considering the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the sides, it is hereby directed that as and when, details of such links I URLs as are similar in nature to the links/URLs mentioned in Para no.24 of the plaint, are brought to the notice of the defendant no.1 by the plaintiff, the said defendant shall take appropriate action as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of 36 hours, in terms of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines & Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021.

Apart from above, the defendant no.1 is also directed to disclose the identity of the creators and members of infringing channels / groups along with their contact details qua links/ URLs as detailed in Para no.24 of the plaint, either by way of written statement itself or by way of separate compliance report within 30 days, with direction to supply advance copy thereof to the opposite side.

Copy of this order be given dasti to both the sides, as requested for necessary compliance.

Put up on 11-08-2025 for compliance and completion of pleadings qua defendant no.1 and for consideration of application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 CPC.”

5. It can be seen that the above order clearly directs that as and when the details of infringing links / URLs, similar in nature to the links as mentioned in para-24 of the plaint are brought to the notice of the defendant no.1 (respondent herein) by the plaintiff (petitioner herein), the respondent shall take action thereon.
6. Thereafter, again *vide* order dated 01.09.2025, it was ordered as under:

“Compliance affidavit in terms of order dated 30.05.2025 is filed on behalf of plaintiff. Same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel of defendant no. 1 submits that infringing links have been taken down in compliance of order dated 30.05.2025. The Ld. Counsel of plaintiff submitted that if defendant no. 1 undertakes to



take down infringing links to be reported by the plaintiff in future as per law, the present suit may be disposed off and the plaintiff does not wish to proceed against the infringers and is not pressing the claim of disclosure of identities of infringers by the defendant no. 1.

Ld. counsel of defendant no. 1 is agreeable to the above submissions made by the counsel of plaintiff.

Joint statement of the counsel of plaintiff and defendant no. 1 is recorded.

In view of the same, the present suit is disposed off with the directions that the defendant no. 1 shall take down the infringing links as and when reported by the plaintiff through its official e-mail to the defendant no. 1, in accordance with law preferably within 36 hours and subject to the proof of infringement provided by the plaintiff to the defendant no. 1. The parties shall be bound by their respective statements made herein above by their Counsels.

The consent decree be prepared accordingly.

Let the court fees be refunded to the plaintiff as per law.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.”

7. It transpires that pursuant to the aforesaid orders, action *qua* several hundred links has been taken by the respondent at the behest of the petitioner. The controversy now pertains only to a few links with regard to which, according to the respondent, there is uncertainty as to whether they fall within the sweep of the aforesaid orders dated 30.05.2025 and 01.09.2025.
8. After some hearing, it is agreed that where the links refer to the concerned trademarks viz. TrendyTech or to the name of the proprietor thereof, the respondent shall take appropriate action as and when requested by the petitioner.
9. Learned counsel for the respondent further submits that expeditious



action shall be taken with regard to those seven links, as referred to in para-8 of the present petition.

10. The aforesaid statement / understanding is taken on record.

11. In the circumstances, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition. The same is accordingly, disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MARCH 25, 2026/cl