



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P.(NI) 83/2026 & CRL.M.A. 9101/2026**

SH. DHARAM CHAND GARG

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Radhika, Mr. Pawan Gupta and
Mr. Julius Masih, Advocates

versus

SH. RAVINDER KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Madhur Tiwari and Mr.
Pravesh Sirohi, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

24.04.2026

1. By virtue of the present application under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 12.03.2026 (***impugned order***) passed by the learned ASJ, North-West District, Rohini Courts, Delhi (***learned Appellate Court***) in C.A. No.233/2023 entitled '*Dharam Chand Garg vs. Ravinder Kumar*', wherein the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the judgement on conviction dated 21.09.2023 and order on sentence dated 07.10.2023 passed by the learned MM, North-West District, Rohini Courts, Delhi (***learned Trial Court***) in CC No.1877/2016 entitled '*Sh. Ravinder Kumar vs. Sh. Dharam Chand Garg*' was dismissed, and conviction of the petitioner under *Section 138* of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (***NI Act***) was upheld.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the Settlement Agreement dated 02.04.2026 arrived *inter se* the parties, seeks leave to compound the offence.

3. It is stated that *vide* the Settlement Agreement, the petitioner has already paid her a sum of Rs.2,59,000/- to the respondent by way of a Demand Draft dated 13.03.2026 bearing No.436482 drawn on IndusInd Bank as full and final settlement.

4. Considering the parties have already resolved the disputes *inter se* themselves *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 02.04.2026, as also since the offence under *Section 138* of the Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881(**NI Act**) is compoundable under *Section 147* thereof, it would be just and proper to compound the offence.

5. Accordingly, the offence under *Section 138* NI Act is compounded and the composition stands recorded.

6. Resultantly, the present revision petition is allowed. The impugned order is thus set aside and the petitioner stands acquitted as per *Section 320(8)* of the Code of the Criminal Procedure, 1973.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 24, 2026/rr