



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2217/2026**

HIMANSHU BHATIA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Pandey, Advocate
with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with SI
Sharmila Yadav, PS-Gulabi Bagh.
Mr. Shivam and Mr. Mohd. Javed,
Advocates for respondent

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

25.03.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.276/2022 dated 07.10.2022 registered at PS.: Gulabi Bagh, Delhi under *Sections 323/354/354A/354B/506/509/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Compromise Deed dated 23.09.2025 [***Annexure E***] arrived at between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, which is accompanied by the respective proofs of identities of the parties.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.
3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms



the terms of the aforesaid Compromise Deed dated 23.09.2025, whereby the petitioner no.1 has already paid her a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- out of the total settlement amount of Rs.4,00,000/- and two Demand Drafts dated 23.03.2026, bearing Nos.677628 & 677629 of Rs.50,000/- each (total Rs.1,00,000) (State Bank of India, Branch, Pratap Nagar, Delhi) have been handed over in Court to her today as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995 *vide* Decree dated 22.12.2025, and she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Upon an overall consideration of the existing facts and circumstances, even though this Court is mindful that the present FIR has been registered under *Sections 323/354/354A/354B/506/509/34* of the IPC, however, this Court is inclined to quash the present FIR in exercise of its inherent powers under *Section 528* of BNSS, particularly, since the petitioners and respondent no.2 have amicably resolved the disputes *inter se* themselves and they wish to continue living their respective lives peacefully.

6. As per facts, a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) to that effect. In view thereof, they shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of



the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466*, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.

7. Thus, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.276/2022 dated 07.10.2022 registered at PS.: Gulabi Bagh, Delhi under *Sections 323/354/354A/354B/506/509/34* of IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 25, 2026/NA