



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1182/2026**

RIZWAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Aparna Kaushik, Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Mr. Jatin Nagar, Mr. Deepanshi Baisla, Mr. Vaibhav Tyagi, Advocates.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **24.03.2026**

CRL.M.A. 8933/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 1182/2026

3. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking grant of regular bail in case arising out of FIR bearing no. 24383/2024, registered at Police Station Crime Branch, Vikaspuri, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 111/313/305(b) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNS').
4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Briefly stated, as per the prosecution, the present case arises out of an e-FIR lodged by the complainant regarding theft of a Hyundai Creta from



Vikaspuri, Delhi. During investigation, co-accused Taj Mohammad @ Taj was apprehended and the stolen vehicle was recovered from his possession. Subsequent investigation led to the arrest of several co-accused persons and recovery of multiple stolen vehicles and key programming devices. The prosecution case further reveals the existence of an organised interstate vehicle theft syndicate, wherein stolen vehicles were allegedly transported and sold across various states, and the present applicant, Rizwan (son of co-accused Taj Mohammad), was actively involved in the syndicate. During the course of investigation co-accused Mateen Khan revealed that the present applicant, along with co-accused persons Taj Mohammad and Imran Khan @ Guddu, used to supply stolen vehicles to him, which were thereafter sold to receivers in different states. It was further revealed that, following the arrest of co-accused Taj Mohammad and other associates, co-accused Mateen Khan continued to operate in coordination with the present applicant. The applicant was formally arrested on 04.09.2025. Pursuant to his disclosure statement, two stolen vehicles, namely a Hyundai Creta and a Kia Seltos, were recovered at his instance, along with a key programming device (X-tool).

6. The learned counsel for the applicant argues that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been in judicial custody since 04.09.2025. It is further argued that all co-accused persons, who have been attributed roles similar to that of the present applicant, have already been granted bail by the learned ASJ *vide* order dated 03.09.2025. It is also contended that the matter is presently at the stage of framing of charge and is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Accordingly, it is prayed that the applicant be released on regular bail.



7. *Per contra*, the learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the present bail application, submitting that the allegations against the applicant are serious and grave in nature. It is argued that the applicant is an active member of a crime syndicate involved in vehicle thefts and is a habitual offender, having been previously involved in 12 criminal cases. It is further submitted that a Hyundai Creta bearing registration no. DL-12CY-6333 and a Kia Seltos bearing registration no. DL-9CBD-5413 were recovered at the instance of the applicant, along with a key programming device (X-Tool) from the dashboard of the Hyundai Creta. On these grounds, it is prayed that the present bail application be dismissed.

8. This Court has **heard** arguments addressed by the learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the state and has perused the material on record.

9. In brief, the allegations against the present applicant are that he, along with other co-accused persons, is involved in an organised crime syndicate engaged in the theft of multiple vehicles across the Delhi–NCR region.

10. This Court notes that co-accused persons, Nagender, Nadeem, Manish Arya, Mateen, Guddu @ Imran, Taj Mohammad and Akbar Ali, have already been granted bail by the learned ASJ *vide* order dated 03.09.2025, and their roles are similar to that attributed to the present applicant.

11. Further, it is pertinent to note that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 04.09.2025. The case is presently at the stage of framing of charge, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Moreover, as the chargesheet has already been filed, no further custodial interrogation of the applicant is required.

12. This Court also takes note of the fact that the applicant has been



involved in 12 prior cases; however, he is on bail in all such cases.

13. Therefore, considering the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, including the period of custody already undergone by the applicant, the co-accused persons with same role are on bail, and the fact that the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Successor Court/Link Court/Duty Judge concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned Court and if he has passport, he shall surrender the same to the concerned trial court.
- ii) The applicant shall share his contact details (mobile numbers and residential address) with the IO/SHO and the Trial Court; and in case of any change in the said details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned Court and the concerned IO/SHO.
- iii) The applicant shall appear before the Trial Court on every date of hearing unless exempted;
- iv) The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity;
- v) The applicant shall not communicate with, or come into contact with the complainant or any of the prosecution witnesses, or tamper with the evidence of the case.

14. The bail application is accordingly disposed of.

15. Nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.



16. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

MARCH 24, 2026/vc

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J