



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 2166/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8931/2026, CRL.M.A. 8932/2026
PRADEEP SINGH & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)
alongwith petitioner No.3.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, ASC for the State.
Mr. Anindya Malhotra, Mr. Shaurya
Lamba, Ms. Ishita Sehrawat and Mr.
Durgesh, Advocates.
SI Tamana, P.S. Vasant Vihar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **24.03.2026**

At the outset, Mr. Anindya Malhotra, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 on advance copy points-out, that *vide* order dated 06.02.2026 made in CRL. M.C. No.1023/2026, the petitioners had withdrawn their prior quashing petition, which was drawn-up on exactly the same lines, while reserving their rights to raise all contentions taken in that petition before the learned trial court at the time of arguments on charge.

Mr. Malhotra further submits, that the present petition is the second attempt of the petitioners, seeking quashing of the subject FIR, which ought not to be entertained. A copy of order dated 06.02.2026 is on record. It bears-out the submissions made.

Though, petitioner No.3/Mr. Mahavir Singh is present in court; and he has instructed his counsel to press the petition, this court is not inclined to entertain the present petition.



In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed, at the stage of issuance of notice itself.

Needless to add that, the petitioners shall be at liberty to raise all their contentions placed in the present petition, before the learned trial court, at the time of arguments on charge.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 24, 2026

V.Rawat