



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2148/2026**

BHAWNA VERMA & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Asif Kumar, Advocate.
Petitioners in-person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP for the State.
Ms. Kiran Singh, Advocate with Ms.
Anju Anil, Advocate for respondent
No.2 and R-2 in-person.
S.I. Surender Singh, P.S: Naraina.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

24.03.2026

CRL.M.A. 8879/2026

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

CRL.M.C. 2148/2026

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners seek quashing of case FIR No. WD-NA-000230 dated 14.07.2022 registered under sections 380/454/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: e-Police Station (Naraina, West District), Delhi, on consent. Respondent No. 2 is the former father-in-law of petitioner No.1.

2. The petition is premised on Settlement Deed dated 16.07.2025, whereby petitioner No.1 has amicably resolved her matrimonial disputes with her former husband *i.e.*, respondent No.2's son.



3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondent No. 2, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The court has interacted with respondent No.2, as also with the petitioners. Petitioner No.1 confirms that she has taken divorce by mutual consent; and that in full-and-final settlement of all her claims, including towards maintenance (past, present and future), *stridhan*, dowry articles, jewellery, permanent alimony, etc., she was to receive a sum of Rs.86,00,000/- from respondent No.2's son; out of which Rs. 55,00,000/- was paid earlier and Rs. 31,00,000/- has been paid in court today in CRL.M.C. No. 2178/2026, in compliance of the terms of the settlement. Petitioner No.1 confirms that all aspects of the settlement have now been performed.
6. Ms. Kiran Bairwa, learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
7. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.



8. Accordingly, case FIR No. WD-NA-000230 dated 14.07.2022 registered under sections 380/454/34 of the IPC at P.S.: e-Police Station, (Naraina, West District), Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
9. Petitions stand disposed-of.
10. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 24, 2026

ds